

A Digital Twin for solid mechanics : How can we use images to build more predictive models ?

Florent Mathieu - IBFEM 18 - 05/09/18

Motivations

- S. Bouissou, Airbus : "We have to develop the next generations of planes in 30% less time, which means we have to perform 30% less testing. But the certification agencies need the same amount of data."
- D. Mellé, Safran Landing Systems : "In a landing gear, every kg counts and can help keeping our seller's performance promises.

But our designs already seem to be at the top of what we can do, at least with the **safety margins** we're currently using."

Goal: more data points, less development time



Experiences : what is currently done

Digitalisation of the design process (PLM, SDM)



An Integrated Simulation Tool Framework for Process Data Management, Cognizant, 2015



Current performance levels



Figure 1: Disposition rates of product development projects, The PLM Study, January 2015, 760 total respondents

Causes :

- Increasing complexity of the products
- Lack of foresight of product performances (leading to design iterations)

Client problem : Simplify model validation

Design process in « model-based engineering »



Solution : 2 patents, 2 tools

Design process in « model-based engineering »



Digital Image Correlation : images to the rescue



Main limitations :

- standardization
- "two-screen syndrome": comparing to the simulation is even more complex



-> qualitative measurement tool

Subset-base vs FE-Based DIC (in theory)





Subset-base vs FE-Based DIC (in practice)



Subset-based DIC



Software solution – DIC on the FE simulation Mesh



FEATURES

- Image processing
- Displacements/strain measurements
- Test/Simulation direct comparison
- External data importation

Our next proposition : a digital twin for 3D solid mechanics



Challenges :

- Format compatibility (simulation & test)
- "Heavy client" software development

Use case – Composite bumper beam





12

@ SAFRAN Aircraft Engines



- Complex internal structure
- Local behavior impossible to identify
- Equivalent modeling was not satisfying





- Development of a custom SAMCEF connection module to DIC (then LS-DYNA, Abaqus, Zebulon)
- Automated parameter identification
- Integration to the R&D team toolbox



Results

Solution

Context





- "These methods are undeniably faster than what we were able to do before, and give us results we didn't have"
- "We think that will allow us to reduce the number of tests by capitalizing more on them, to reduce testing costs and processing time"
 - J. Schneider, composites expert

@ SAFRAN Landing Systems

Solution

esults

- "Demo" part : short fiber composite
- Compression test
- Goal : validation of the simulation

System n°1

System n°2

System n°3



- Multi-camera DIC systems (6)
 - Strain & displacement surface measurement
- Global test/simulation comparison

- - Global quality evaluation
 - Direct boundary conditions correction from measured data
 - Creation of an "augmented" simulation
 - "The comparison is way simpler and more complete than what we usually have"





@ CETIM

Solution

- New material long fiber composite
- Defects in structural parts
- Goal : measure sensitivity to defects
- Tests: traction and compression



- Displacement measurement on the FE mesh of the part
- Use of measurement as input for boundary conditions
- Global test/simulation comparison



- kosimilation to the second sec
- Evaluation of the model quality
- Correction directly from the measurement data
- "Augmented" and calibrated FE model

@ ALSTOM

Solution

Results



- Problems with simulation results validation
- Questions about a possible loading evolution in time

- Displacement measurement on the FE mesh
- Integration of other sensors results (gauges, LVDT)
- Automatic matching of simulation on the test results data set (forces, displacements, strains)





- Evaluation of the model quality
- Correction directly from the measurement data
- Physical explanation for the lack of performance (boundary conditions identification)
- Reduction of simulation gauge error by 30%



cetim

What to do in the future ?

• Current state of our work : proving that a better validation is possible (mostly on our side) through adapted tools

1114

• Next step : proving that a better validation needs adapted processes

Current validation process



In the future



Key messages

- Simulation will not be (for a long time) the only way to develop high-risk products : testing has to be integrated to the validation digital thread, for performance and for risk management
- Test-simulation comparison has to be addressed with adapted tools, and imaging can play a central role (field measurements, FEA model "augmenting")
- Challenges : implementing new tools and new processes for an unknown (ie not measured yet) gain

• How do you deal with validation ? Are there technical limitations ?





COMBLEZ L'ECART ENTRE ESSAIS ET SIMULATIONS

- Intégration des essais dans la chaîne numérique
- Réduction des coûts de développement et de conception

