

GEODICT, THE DIGITAL MATERIAL LABORATORY

IBFEM-4i Swansea, September 12th, 2019

Andreas Wiegmann

GEODICT[®] MODULE OVERVIEW





GEODICT[®] SOLUTIONS FOR ...



Filtration	For a clean environment	
Electrochemistry	For electromobility	
Structural materials	For lightweight applications	
Digital Rock Physics	For efficient energy production	

DISTRIBUTORS WORLDWIDE





SELECTED CLIENTS OF A TOTAL OF ~150 CLIENTS





TYPICAL ARTIFACTS

GEODICT

- Image Alignment
- Brightness
 - changes in cutting direction and in single images
 - Curtaining-effect / streaking, sensor dependent
 - Local charging leads to local change in brightness
- Non-invaded pores after resin infiltration



FIB-SEM IMAGE ALIGNMENT

GEODICT

Image Stack Alignement - Aligned by Region



Unaligned image stack, image #1



© Math2Market GmbH

Aligned image stack, image #1

NANO-CT GRAY-VALUE CORRECTION

GEODICT

- Images can have changes in brightness
- Can be adjusted for each direction, x-, y-, and z-
- Can be beneficial in other use cases as well
 - Here: brightness correction of a nanoCT scan



CURTAIN FILTER

GEODICT

MATH

2 MARKET



Original SEM

SEM after applying the curtain filter

INTRODUCTION

GEODICT



Applied Filters:

- Non-Local Means Filter
- Sharpen Filter



 Removed grains at the domain boundary
 - 11 -- © Math2Market GmbH



STATISTICAL TWIN USING GRAINGEO	GEODICT
IMPORT GRAINFIND RESULTS	
INTO GRAINGEO	
GrainGeo Create Options	×
GEODICT	
Result File Name (*.gdr) GrainGeoCreate.gdr	n 🕶 🔂 🚱
Create Options Object Options Object Overlap Result Options	
Create in Current Domain Keep Current Objects / Structure Domain	
NX 200 \$ (200 μm) Origin X / (μm) 0 Periodic X	
NY 200 \$ (200 μm) Origin Y / (μm) 0 Periodic Y	
NZ 200 🗘 (200 μm) Origin Z / (μm) 0 Periodic Z	
Voxel Length / (µm) 1 Pore / Matrix Material (ID 00) 🍐 Air (Fluid) Center Domain	
Generation and Overlap Mode Stopping Criterion	
Allow Object Overlap Fixed Object Number	
Without (Remove) Object Overlap Edit Object Solid Volume Percentage / (%)	
Prohibit Object Overlap Grammage / (g/m ²)	
Use Isolation Distance - Object Weight Percentage / (%)	
O Enforce Object Overlap Maximal Run Time / (h) 6	
Random Seed 45	
🖬 📂 🖄 🔊 ОК Са	ncel
12	© Math2Market GmbH 2 MARKET

STATISTICAL TWIN USING GRAINGEO

GEODICT



- Used GrainGeo's "Create Grains"
- Visual comparison is good

STATISTICAL TWIN USING GRAINGEO

GEODICT

COMPARISON OF STATISTICS Do Aug 22 2019 (2020 Build 35755) Do Aug 22 2019 (2020 Build 35755) Domain: 1400 x 1400 x 200 Voxel: Load Structure Domain: 1400 x 1400 x 200 Voxel: Load Structure Results Results Input Map Log Map Post Map Input Map Log Map Post Map Report Plots Plots Map Report Map **Digital Twin** µCT-scan GrainFind GrainFind Main Results Main Results Number of grains: 21104. - Number of grains: 23511. Number of grain contacts: 46555. Number of grain contacts: 30297. The index image Grains.g32 contains the The index image Grains.g32 contains the identified grains with their grain tags. These tags identified grains with their grain tags. These tags are integers ranging from 1 to 21104 togers ranging from 1 to 22511 Relative agreement of number of grains: 90% Statistics Grain Vo Relative agreement of number of grain contacts: Step lume 154% ae 51.9209 % Initial Grain Structure 52.5015 % Initial Grain Structure Watershed with Minimal 52,5005 % Watershed with Minimal 51.9184 % Diameter Diameter v Grain Reconnection 52,5005 % Grain Reconnection 51,9184 %

- Used GrainGeo's "Create Grains"
- Visual comparison is good
- However, statistics do not match perfectly



STATISTICAL TWIN USING GRAINGEO GRAINGEO: ADD BINDER!

GEODICT



Slice from



Comparison CT-scan vs. Digital Twin VISUAL COMPARISON



MAIH



- Used GrainGeo's "Create Grains" and GrainGeo's "Add Binder"
- Visual comparison is good



COMPARISON CT-SCAN VS. DIGITAL TWIN COMPARISON PLOTS





COMPARISON CT-SCAN VS. DIGITAL TWIN

GEODICT

VISUAL COMPARISON



- Used GrainGeo's "Create Grains" and GrainGeo's "Add Binder"
- Visual comparison is good
- Statistics match nicely



DIGITAL BATTERY DEVELOPMENT

Solutions with **GeoDict**[®]

Dr. Ilona Glatt, Dr. Mathias Fingerle, Dr. Fabian Biebl, Sebastian Rief, Franziska Arnold, Steffen Schwichow, Dr. Barbara Planas



LI-ION BATTERY

GEODICT



MICROSTRUCTURE OF A LI-ION – CATHODE



SCAN AND SEGMENTATION: BY COURTESY OF J. JOOS, KIT







PARTICLE EXPANSION DUE TO LI-INTERCALATION





INFLUENCE OF ANODE GRAIN SIZE: BATTERYDICT HALF-CELL SIMULATION

GEODICT

- Charging of an anode with different grain sizes
- Identical porosity, amount of connected active material and electrolyte
- At 2.5 C, charging gets harder with larger particles

Analysis of µCT scans of nonwoven samples

Andreas Grießer, Rolf Westerteiger, Steffen Schwichow, Andreas Wiegmann, Math2Market Wesley DeBoever, Bruker µCT

DIGITAL TWINS PROVIDE GROUND TRUTH GEODICT

Training Data: Use GeoDict's unique fiber modelling capabilities:

- Modeled 10 Digital siblings (512x512x256 Voxels) as training data
- Varied fiber curvature, orientation, length and diameter
- Corresponded to ~1 billion solid voxels as training data points

DIGITAL TWINS LOOKING LIKE SCANS

GEODICT

Training Data: Then make the models look like binarized scans!

 All fibers in the models get the same gray value, just as in the segmented 3D scans

TRAINING PHASE OF NN

GEODICT

Dozens of Binarized GeoDict models Neural Network learns weights for edges Dozens of Original GeoDict models

USAGE PHASE OF NN

GEODICT

FIBER IDENTIFICATION BY NN: SUMMARY GEODICT

Training: NN learns edge weights from input and output

- input: GeoDict Model: binarized version
- output: GeoDict Model: labeled fibers

Usage: NN predicts labeled output from input using weights

- input: Synchrotron / μCT data: binarized version
- output: Synchrotron / µCT data: labeled fibers

-- 29 --

OVERVIEW OF SAMPLE STRUCTURES

GEODICT

Sample Name	Resolution	Physical dimensions	Voxel dimensions
А	2.4µm	43.9 x 11.6 x 4.1 mm	18,310 x 4,816 x 1,704
В	2.7µm	42.2 x 10.9 x 4.8 mm	15,619 x 4,032 x 1,796

- Carded nonwoven samples
- Scanned and stitched together by Bruker microCT
- Analyzed by Math2Market using GeoDict

SAMPLE A – SEM VIEW

GEODICT

SAMPLE B – SEM VIEW

GEODICT

GEODICT

FIBER ORIENTATIONS – SAMPLE A

GEODICT

FIBER ORIENTATIONS – SAMPLE B

GEODICT

FIBER IDENTIFICATION ON SAMPLE B

GEODICT

Sample B	Labeling of fibers	Data becomes information
FiberFind was used on the complete sample. Process is explained on a smaller cutout	The artificial intelligence separates the solid voxels in the image data into individual fibers. Each fiber becomes an independent, modifiable object which can be treated independently.	Geometric information, such as fiber length, fiber segment orientation and fiber diameter, can be read directly from the object.

MATH




DIGITAL PEM FUEL CELL DEVELOPMENT

Solutions with **GeoDict**[®]

Dr. Mathias Fingerle, Dr. Ilona Glatt, Dr. Jürgen Becker, Sebastian Rief, Andreas Grießer, Steffen Schwichow, Franziska Arnold



PEM FUEL CELL

GEODICT



2 MARKE





SEGMENTATION WITH IMPORTGEO-VOL



DATA: µCT SCANS OF TORAY TGP H 060, PSI VILLINGEN (CH)

SEGMENTATION OF A GDL WITH FIBERFIND-AI* GEODICT



The neural network in FiberFind-AI, can distinguished fiber and binder of a Toray Paper

CT-SCAN VS DIGITAL TWIN GENERATED IN GEODICT®

1. 2. **3. Model**



GEODICT



4. DESIGN

Digital Twin



2 MARKET



SIMULATION OF ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY*

GEODICT

Conductivity in experiments did not fit conductivity in simulations.^[1]

- Reason: fibers and binder could not be differentiated.^[1]
- Solution: After identifying fiber and binder with FiberFind-AI, we can now run simulations where binder and fibers have different conductivity



[1] J. Becker et. al.: Determination of Material Properties of Gas Diffusion Layers: Experiments and Simulations Using Phase Contrast Tomographic Microscopy, Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 2009.



TRANSPORT PROPERTIES AT DIFFERENT COMPRESSION LEVELS

GEODICT



J. Becker et. al.: Determination of Material Properties of Gas Diffusion Layers: Experiments and Simulations Using Phase Contrast Tomographic Microscopy, Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 2009.



WATER SATURATION OF A GDL SIMULATED WITH SATUDICT

2. ANALYZE



MATH

2 MARKET

GEODICT

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES: COMPRESSION OF GDL DETERMINED WITH ELASTODICT



- Transverse isotropic elastic modulus for fibers
- Isotropic elastic modulus for binder
- 30% compression





2 MARKE

OPTIMIZE WATER MANAGEMENT WITH GEODICT®*

GEODICT



Motivated by Jens Eller, Paul Scherrer Institut







COMPRESSION OF POROUS MEDIA WITH GEODICT

µCT scans and alignment by Stefan Probst-Schendzielorz, Voith Paper, Heidenheim





COMPRESSION OF GENERATED FOAMS

GEODICT



Theoretical stress strain curve



- I. Linear elasticity,
- II. Plateau

-- 48 --

- III. Densification
- Foam generated with FoamGeo
- 80 % compression (on deformed geometry)

- Buckling of cell walls can be observed
- Characteristical stress strain curve
- Constant positive pore pressure



COMPRESSION OF A SINGLE BEAD

GEODICT

Polypropylene particle foam

Compression of a single bead



MATH

2 MARKET

FOAM AND STRESSES UNDER ELONGATION

GEODICT



COMPRESSION SIMULATION OF A DRAINAGE FELT COMPRESSION @ 0.1 MPa

GEODICT



Scan

547 x 546 x 410 Voxel **0%** Deformation





ElastoDict

547 x 546 x 410 Voxel **0%** Deformation



Compression Simulation of a Drainage Felt Compression @ 1.0 MPa

GEODICT



tonna

Scan

547 x 546 x 358 Voxel -12.68% Deformation



ElastoDict

547 x 546 x 358 Voxel -12.68% Deformation





COMPRESSION SIMULATION OF A DRAINAGE FELT COMPRESSION @ 2.0 MPa

GEODICT





Scan

547 x 546 x 341 Voxel -4.75% Deformation



ElastoDict

547 x 546 x 341 Voxel -4.75% Deformation





COMPRESSION SIMULATION OF A DRAINAGE FELT COMPRESSION @ 4.0 MPa

GEODICT



© Math2Market GmbH

Scan

547 x 544 x 314 Voxel -7.92% Deformation



ElastoDict

547 x 544 x 314 Voxel -7.92% Deformation



COMPRESSION SIMULATION OF A DRAINAGE FELT COMPRESSION @ 6.0 MPa

GEODICT



Scan

547 x 544 x 290 Voxel -7.46% Deformation





ElastoDict

547 x 544 x 290 Voxel -7.46% Deformation

-- 55 --



COMPRESSION SIMULATION OF A DRAINAGE FELT GEODICT OVERLAP-ANALYSIS @ 1.0 MPa







Janna Krummenacker (IVW), Franz Schreiber (ITWM), Dr. Constantin Bauer (M2M), Andreas Grießer (M2M), Andreas Wiegmann PhD (M2M).



CONTRIBUTIONS

This work requires 3D printing, 3D imaging, mechanical testing, CAD, simulation of the printing process and simulation of the mechanical properties.

- 3D printing by Math2Market GmbH, Kaiserslautern, Germany, using a commercial Ultimaker 3 printer
- Mechanical Testing by Institute for Composite Materials, IVW, Kaiserslautern
- 3D µCT imaging by Fraunhofer Institute for Industrial Mathematics, ITWM, Kaiserslautern
- CAD design of the meta material, simulation of the printing process and simulation of the mechanical properties by Math2Market GmbH, using GeoDict and Fraunhofer ITWM's FeelMath

GEODICT







MATH 2 MARKET



WHAT IS SPECIAL ABOUT THIS MECHANICAL METAMATERIAL?



In the **horizontal direction**, the material is rather stiff.

In the **other direction**, this material is initially very soft before turning into a very stiff material.



FUSED FILAMENT FABRICATION SIMULATION ON DIGITAL MODEL



Meta-Material designed for Additive Manufacturing



3D PART BY FUSED FILAMENT FABRICATION GEODICT

Prototype manufactured by Additive Manufacturing



PROBLEM: STANDARD APPROACH TO STIFFNESS GEODICT PREDICTION IS INSUFFICIENT



Simulated behavior does not agree with experiments



SIMULATION DOES NOT MATCH EXPERIMENT PRINTING PROCESS IS NOT MODELLED





SIMULATION DOESN'T MATCH EXPERIMENT BECAUSE PRINTING PROCESS IS NOT MODELLED

GEODICT

Questions

- Where does the discrepancy between the curves come from?
- Is it due to not modelling the printing process?
- Is it due to errors in the mechanics solver?
- Or even both?



VALIDATION OF THE MECHANICS SOLVER

GEODICT

1. µCT-SCAN AND IMPORT IN GEODICT





VALIDATION OF THE MECHANICS SOLVER

GEODICT

2. COMPARISON OF UNREALISTIC MODELED AND µCT SCANNED PART



unrealistic modeled part

overlap

 μCT scanned part

© Math2Market GmbH

Geometrical Validation

VALIDATION OF THE MECHANICS SOLVER

3. COMPARISON OF CORNER DETAIL





Geometrical Validation

2 MARKET



VALIDATION OF THE MECHANICS SOLVER 4. COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT WITH SIMULATION

GEODICT







Digital Image Correlation, Compression experiment

ElastoDict simulation on µCT-scan

MATH

2 MARKET



MECHANICS SIMULATION AGREES WITH EXPERIMENT WHEN APPLIED TO μ CT SCAN



ΜΑΤΗ

2 MARKET

GEODICT



AND HERE, MAGIC HAPPENS... INTRODUCING IMPORTGEO-AM

GEODICT

Take output of printer software and create 3D model that takes into account the printing process



Geometrical Validation

SOLUTION: GEODICT ENHANCED APPROACH TO STRESS- GEODICT STRAIN PREDICTION MATCHES EXPERIMENT



Simulation of the printing process is necessary for correct prediction of the stress-strain curve.


SIMULATING THE PRINTING PROCESS LEADS TO AGREEMENT OF SIMULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL CURVES





MODELLING THE PRINTING PROCESS LEADS TO AGREEMENT OF STRESS-STRAIN CURVES









Image source: https://www.thermofisher.com/blog/metals/new-reduced-platinum-catalyst-for-catalytic-converters/

Andreas Wiegmann, Anja Streit, Andreas Weber, Liping Cheng, Mehdi Azimian, Erik Glatt & Jürgen Becker



MODELING AFTERTREATMENT USING RESIDENCE TIMES

GEODICT

Reactive flow simulation with AddiDict residence time tracking

For example in a car exhaust catalyst / DPF: Reduction of Nox, HC and CO Removal of soot



FLOW THROUGH PARTICULATE FILTER (PLUGGED)



- Flow simulation through channels and walls (porous catalyst).
- Walls are modelled as porous material. Effective properties are computed from simulation on fully resolved scale.



MOLECULE MOTION IN PARTICULATE FILTER GEODICT (PLUGGED)

- Simulate molecule motion in flow field and due to diffusion.
- Bounces of the molecules at the interface between channel and the porous walls are available in GeoDict 2020.





SOOT DEPOSITION IN A HONEYCOMB

GEODICT



MOLECULE MOTION IN CATALYST (NO PLUGS) GEODICT

Flow simulation through channels, porous walls (dark gray) and reaction layer (blue). Used periodic boundary conditions to simulate much larger channel geometry. Use new feature of placing particles in specifiable locations (light gray area in the inlet)



RESIDENCE TIMES IN CATALYST

GEODICT

- Track the residence times in channel, walls and reaction layer in GeoDict.
- Export the residence times for all molecules for postprocessing , for example for deriving reaction rates.



Total simulation time: 1s

Particles spend between 40% and 70% of the time in the wall. An around 7% of the time in the reaction layer.

TWO SOURCES OF PRESSURE LOSS IN DPF



- 1. Across the ceramic micro structure
- 2. Along the channels due to capillary forces

- We simulate them separately.
- In both cases, we simulate the loading of an initially clean filter.



BINARIZED PMS IMAGES

FROM POLISHED MICROGRAPH SECTIONS AND MODELED SINTERED CERAMICS





MEASURED POROSITIES & PERMEABILITIES

OF REAL CERAMICS VS MODELED POROSITIES & SIMULATED PERMEABILITIES ON MODELED CERAMICS





SPATIAL PARTICLES DEPOSITION OVER TIME







REDUCED PRESSURE DROP OVER TIME



IKTS

After fast initial pressure drop increase(slope s1, depth filtration phase)follows long slower pressure drop increase(slope s2, cake filtration phase)

- Matched experiment with simulations
- Shortened depth phase to lower pressure drop during cake phase
- Fraunhofer IKTS manufactured ceramic, experiment matched simulations, and patent was granted: Particulate filter, No. DE102012220181 A1





Fraunhofer

FINDING A NEW GPF MATERIAL WITH GEODICT GEODICT

At World Congress Experience 2018, **Toyota Motor Company** presented "Development of Low Pressure and High Performance GPF Catalyst". <u>https://www.sae.org/publications/technical-papers/content/2018-01-1261/</u>

GeoDict software helps to reduce back pressure in Gasoline Particulate Filters by 25%.

microstructure of wash coats analyzed, understood and improved with GeoDict



RENDERING OF MATERIALS AND SIMULATION RESULTS









Source: MANN+HUMMEL

GEODICT CAN ALSO BE USED TO EXPORT MODELS FOR 3-D PRINTING







Source: MANN+HUMMEL on LinkedIn



Optimization of a virtual filter media prototype Pushing the limits 2.0 – next generation









Kaiserslautern, September 27th, 2017 Simulation-driven development and optimization of virtual filter media prototypes MANN+ HUMMEL



12

Source: MANN+HUMMEL

"LARGE" SIMULATION



Simulation settings:

Domain: 512x512x768 voxel Average velocity: 0.1 m/s pH value: 3.2 Simulation time: 20 s Number of particles: ~10.000 Runtime: 14 hs (16 cores, <20 GB Memory)



CONCLUSION



- For fuel cells, batteries and aftertreatment catalysts, the material's microstructure has a great influence on the performance
- The microstructure can be accessed by µCT, FIB-SEM & 3D image processing software
- The microstructure can be modelled by structure generators
- Material characterization can be done on images just as by experiments
 - Transport, Diffusion, Conduction
 - Stiffness, Deformation
- The development of next generation materials can be accelerated by screening designs digitally, first.
- You can do all this yourself with our easy-to-use, highly efficient and well-documented software

NEXT GENERATION MATERIALS WITH GEODICT®

GEODICT

The materials of the future are within reach and **we help you find them faster**.

