

Simulating the Effects of Manufacturing Defects Based on CT Images

Johannes Fieres Volume Graphics GmbH



Volume Graphics

- Developer of leading software for the analysis and visualization of industrial CT data
- For quality control, metrology, damage analysis, and product development
- Used by more than 70% of the "Fortune Global 500" companies in the automotive and electronics industries*
- Founded in 1997
- Located in Heidelberg, Germany



Volume Graphics

- Developer of leading software for the analysis and visualization of industrial CT data
- For quality control, metrology, damage analysis, and product development
- Used by more than 70% of the "Fortune Global 500" companies in the automotive and electronics industries*
- Founded in 1997
- Located in Heidelberg, Germany











X-Ray detector

















3d volumetric representation of scanned part





CT image data





CT image data





CT image data

Surface determination











Classical Finite Elements Simulation

CT Data

0.0





Classical Finite Elements Simulation

CT Data

0.0



Direct simulation on image data





Classical Finite Elements

 Can use established simulation workflows
 Leverage well known simulation software
 Unfeasable for very complex geometries



Direct image simulation with Volume Graphics





How to mesh this ???





Classical Finite Elements

Can use established simulation workflows
 Leverage well known simulation software
 Unfeasable for very complex geometries

Vo (2000 m dome (1%) 1900 m 1990 m 1991 m

Direct image simulation (with Volume Graphics)

- Arbitrary complex geometries
 Simple workflow
- ✓ Simple workflow
- ✓ Fast
- X Currently limited to linear elasticity



Immersed-Boundary FEM: The Volume Graphics Approach to Direct Image Simulation



Example: Aluminum Foam







Nominal stress: 0,1 N / 3,0976 mm² = 32,3 kPa Hotspot stress: 11,95 MPa \rightarrow Stress concentration factor: 370 Maximum displacement: 0,227 µm Strain: 0,227 µm / 1,76 mm = 129 µm/m → Effective Young's modulus: 247 MPa (vs. 69.000 MPa for bulk aluminum)

Example: Biological structure



Comparing the mechanics of different snake fangs (poison teeth) [1]



[1] Broeckhoven, Du Plessis: "Has snake fang evolution lost its bite? New insights from a structural mechanics viewpoint." Biology Letters 13.8 (2017)



Example: Tension Rod With one Pore

Comparison between classical FEM and immersed boundary FEM



Elliptical Pore (Idealized)

Spherical Pore (Idealized)





J Fieres, F Esposito: Fast and Accurate Simulation of Manufactured Parts with Defects, Tech Briefs 5 (2019)



Example: Tension Rod With one Pore

Comparison between classical FEM and immersed boundary FEM



Classical FEM

- Volume meshing (30 min)
- Solving (5 min)



Immersed-boundary FEM

• Direct solving (5 min)

J Fieres, F Esposito: Fast and Accurate Simulation of Manufactured Parts with Defects, Tech Briefs 5 (2019)





- Aluminum alloy (AlSi10Mg)
- Built additively using laser melting by Concept Laser
- Deliberately built-in porosity
- Six different porosity patterns



18 tensile rods (length = 5cm)

[1] Design by Airbus Emerging Technologies & Concepts, with permission

Validation study





Immersed boundary FE simulation

2.261.89 Equation For the format of the format o format o format of the format of the format of 0.75 0,2 0,4 Elongation [mm] 0,4 0,6 Force + Bolt

Quasi-static destructive test























Von Mises stress



















low

Find largest N local maxima of von Mises stress:

$$\sigma_1$$
 (= σ_{max}), σ_2 , ..., σ_N

Predictions:

- > First crack occurs at either one of these positions
- > Ultimate strength \propto 1 / ($\Sigma \sigma_{\rm i}$ / N)



Results: Prediction of Tensile Strength





[1] Fieres, Schumann, Reinhart: Predicting failure in additively manufactured parts using X-ray computed tomography and simulation, 7th intl. conf. fatigue design 2017

Results: Prediction of Tensile Strength





[1] Fieres, Schumann, Reinhart: Predicting failure in additively manufactured parts using X-ray computed tomography and simulation, 7th intl. conf. fatigue design 2017







Base200, specimen 2 of 3, HS2







Notch, specimen 2 of 3, HS1 and HS2







Bar200, specimen 2 of 3, HS1





250C, specimen 1 of 3, HS1



Base200, specimen 2 of 3, HS2



Notch, specimen 2 of 3, HS1 and HS2









Bar200, specimen 2 of 3, HS1



Plain, specimen 3 of 3, HS1







- 12 of 18 specimen cracked at hot spot 1 or 2
- 3 specimen cracked at one of the top 10 hotspots
- 3 specimen cracked elsewhere



Density Dependent Material Parameters







Benefits



Low Effort

- > No meshing required
- > No simulation expertise required
- Seamless workflow from material segmentation and defect detection to simulation in one software

> All microstructural details are captured by a subvoxel-precise material segmentation

Realistic

> Simulated stresses can be directly related to the underlying material microstructure (e.g. size, location and shape of pores or thicknesses of struts in open-cell foams)



Validated

- Predicted fracture locations and tensile strengths validated in experimental tensile tests of 3D printed components with pores
- Effective elastic properties of a cubic lattice validated against a conventional FEM simulation



Micromechanics Simulation on CT Scans



Simulation of Complex Materials

Simulation of Components with Defects

https://www.volumegraphics.com/micromechanicssimulation



Thank You !

Visit us on the web... www.volumegraphics.com/micromechanicssimulation

... or approach us in the lunch room!





Example: 3D Printed Component with Pores

Stress concentration caused by a pore

