# Laboratory-based x-ray phase contrast imaging for NDT and industrial testing



### Sandro Olivo, Spokesperson, AXIm Group

(https://www.ucl.ac.uk/medical-physics-biomedical-engineering/research/groups-andcentres/advanced-x-ray-imaging-group-axim)

Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, UCL

Image-Based Simulation for Industry 2021 (iBSim-4i) Institute of Physics, London, UK, Oct 20-21 2021



### x-ray phase contrast imaging

$$n = 1 - \delta + i\beta,$$
  $\mu = \frac{4\pi\beta}{\lambda}$   $\delta = \frac{r_e \rho_e \lambda^2}{2\pi}$ 

$$\Phi(x,y) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \int_{\text{object}} \delta(x,y,z) dz,$$



Note 1) ~ 3 orders of magnitude larger 2) decreases more slowly with x-ray energy





20

X-ray energy (keV)



### Phase changes cause small angular deviations (x-ray refraction)

When crossing an object with negligible absorption ( $\beta \sim 0$ ) but with  $\delta \neq 0$ , the X-ray wavefield changes from

$$\Psi = \Psi_0 \exp(-ikz) \qquad \text{to} \qquad \Psi = \Psi_0 \exp[i(-kz + \phi)]$$
  
with 
$$\phi(x, y) = -r_e \lambda \int_{object} \rho_e(x, y, z) dz \qquad (r_e \text{ classical electron radius,} \\ \lambda \text{ incident radiation} \\ \text{wavelength, } \rho_e \text{ electron density})$$

The new wavevector is therefore:

$$\vec{k}' = (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}, \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}) = \vec{\nabla}_{xy}\phi + k\hat{z}$$

and the angular deviation (relative to the Initial propagation direction) is given by:

$$\alpha \cong \frac{1}{k} \left| \vec{\nabla}_{xy} \phi(x, y, \lambda) \right|$$

Ē



radius,

# EDGE-ILLUMINATION XPCI with DIVERGENT and A POLYCHROMATIC (=conventional) SOURCES



UCL ENGINEERING Change the world

Olivo and Speller Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 (2007) 074106

### Little loss of signal intensity for source sizes up to 100 µm



Which can be achieved with state-of-the-art mammo sources

## Why?

- 1) Because we are only relying on refraction, which survives under relaxed coherence conditions;
- 2) Because we are use aperture pitches matching the pixel size, i.e. BIG: the projected source size remains < pitch, and therefore blurring does "not" occur.



Olivo and Speller Phys. Med. Biol. 52 (2007) 6555-73

## **Quantitative phase contrast imaging**



0

200

-100

0

100





Highly precise retrieval, for both high and low Z materials, up to high gradients where other methods break down



Munro et al Opt. Exp. 21 (2013) 647-61

0

200



# Phase retrieval with synchrotron and conventional sources:



@ conventional source: incoherence modelled as beam spreading – the movement of the "spread" beam is then tracked and referred back to the phase shift that caused it.

But with lots of care as far as "effective energy" is concerned! (See Munro & Olivo Phys. Rev. A 87 (2013) 053838)



m

#### sensitivity of the lab system:



**UCL** ENGINEERING

Change the world

Diemoz et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103 (2013) 244104



#### Endrizzi et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104 (2014) 024106



#### UCL ENGINEERING **Microbubbles:** a new concept of "phase-based" x-ray contrast agent



#### absorption





#### dark field





Millard et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 103 (2013) 114105

#### Complementarity of the three signals





Vittoria et al Appl. Phys. Lett. 104 (2014) 134102

UCL ENGINEERING

#### **Detection of individual sub-pixel features**







Matsunaga et al J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 53 (2020) 095401

## UCL ENGINEERING Use of complementarity on damage characterisation in composites (d) (g) (e) (f) (i) (j) (k) Refraction (c) Scattering



Shoukroun et al Compos Part B 181 (2020) 107579

#### **POC (synchrotron) on additive manufacturing**

(a)

sample

Time (ms)

(b)





Massimi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., in press

#### **POC (synchrotron) on additive manufacturing**



### **POC (synchrotron) on additive manufacturing**





Massimi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., in press

#### intra-operative breast imaging project





Massimi et al Sci Rep. 11 (2021) 3663

## **Real-time**, large-area



Unpublished, courtesy L. Massimi and L. Jones, supported by Wellcome Trust 200137/Z/15/Z

## Conclusions

Edge-illumination XPCi is non-interferometric, virtually incoherent (while remaining quantitative) - this makes it suitable for translation into real-world systems.

One key aspect is the possibility to implement single-shot methods, avoiding having to displace optical elements between acquisitions etc. We see this as absolutely essential in CT – e.g. continuous sample rotation is otherwise impossible.

By exploiting these properties, we managed to reach acquisition times compatible with real-world uses.

CT implementations are flexible both in terms of resolution and contrast modalities.

We have ramped up planar imaging to large FoVs (20 x 45 cm<sup>2</sup>) and high x-ray energies (120-150 kVp) and the next step is to translate this into CT implementations as well.



## **BIG THANKS TO:**



https://www.ucl.ac.uk/ medical-physicsbiomedicalengineering/research/gr oups-andcentres/advanced-x-rayimaging-group-axim

National Institutes of Health Turning Discovery Into Health

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)